



- Lindsey Chiswick summarised that in terms of technology, DP had tested 2 systems with one ongoing, and a further two systems had been tested by MO3. Once the testing on \*\*\*\*\* had been finished the results will be checked and compared and brought back to the operational business representatives to consider a potential pilot with one system.

**Action 15:** \*\* & \*\* to finish testing and bring results to a meeting of operational business representatives, with consideration to one system being a pilot system.

- 

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Aimee Reed also advised that due to resources it would be important to start early with the DPIA and where possible utilise previous formed use cases.

- MOPAC highlighted the need for adherence to data processing requirements and testing to understand any potential demographic differentiation in the system.
- \*\* advised the board of the process being used by\*\*\*\*\*, whereby a paralegal is consulted prior to any action on matches, in order to negate potential issues further down the line. The system worked in real time, so officers who made the request were getting fast time results in order to proceed or, if concerns were raised, refrain from engaging with subjects.

### Live Facial Recognition

- The potential for another intelligence led deployment of LFR was discussed. Considerations for any future operational deployment needed to include the level of footfall (currently low due to lockdown), the use of facemasks and the potential community impact in light of BLM protests, in addition to the usual considerations of necessity and proportionality. \*\*\*\*\* recommended any consideration be run via the Gold Structure to help prevent any additional community tensions. This was agreed. It was also noted that by "funneling" a crowd could potentially breach the Public Health guidelines of remaining 2m apart.
- \*\*\*\*\* asked consideration to be given to whether trusted parties and external partners could be invited to the Strategic LFR meetings so they could provide a view and hear the processes. LC explained that a range of IAGs and communities had already been consulted in the run up to operational deployment of the tool. However, this was only the start of the engagement. Prior to every deployment a Community Impact Assessment was completed, combined with community engagement by the relevant BCU.  
**Action 16** \*\*\*\*\* and \*\*\*\*\* to discuss the consideration of extending an invite to trusted parties/ external partners and report back to the board.

### AOB

- It was suggested that the Live Facial Recognition Strategic Board should become the Facial Recognition Board given it was looking at retrospective use of the tool as well. This was agreed.

Next Meeting: Tuesday 7<sup>th</sup> July 2020 1330hrs-1500hrs TEAMS Meeting.